



ERLANGEN	15. – 17. Sept. 2021	SIMULATION IN PRODUKTION UND LOGISTIK
----------	--------------------------------	--

Paper No. #PAPERIND1#

Paper focus and content	
Please, summarize the major content focus of the proposed paper.	
Quality of the paper	
Topic related to Simulation in Production / Logistics:	
Is this topic related to simulation, or to a topic in direct connection to simulation (optimisation, visualisation, digital factory, ...)? Is it interesting to the ASIM community, or should it be better presented to a different conference?	
Please select from:	If not "Very significant"/"Significant", please, explain the reasons or the topics to be improved, or propose another event to present the paper (if possible and adequate).
<input type="checkbox"/> Very significant <input type="checkbox"/> Significant <input type="checkbox"/> Less significant <input type="checkbox"/> Not acceptable	
Originality and novelty:	
According to your knowledge, has the content of this paper or a very similar one been published already? (where?) Is the content new in some aspects, or does the paper report experiences from simulation application for a new purpose? Is this new research? Are results unique and relevant?	
Please select from:	If "Known research", please, explain the existing research.
<input type="checkbox"/> New research <input type="checkbox"/> Partially new research <input type="checkbox"/> New application of known research <input type="checkbox"/> Known research	
Quality of content:	
Does it address a clear topic? Does the paper provide evidence of real or potential application in industry? Are there any errors of fact or logic?	
Please select from:	If not "Very good"/"Solid", please, explain the topics to be improved.
<input type="checkbox"/> Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Solid <input type="checkbox"/> Weak <input type="checkbox"/> Not acceptable	



ERLANGEN 15. - 17. Sept. 2021 SIMULATION IN PRODUKTION UND LOGISTIK

Presentation of the paper	
Title: Does the title accurately describe the contents of the paper? Does the title cover the contents of the paper? Is the title too long or too short?	
Please select from: <input type="checkbox"/> Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Solid <input type="checkbox"/> Weak <input type="checkbox"/> Not acceptable	If not "Very good" or "Solid", please, explain the topics to be improved.
Abstract: Does the abstract accurately describe the contents of the paper? Is the abstract well-structured? Is the abstract too long or too short?	
<input type="checkbox"/> Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Solid <input type="checkbox"/> Weak <input type="checkbox"/> Not acceptable	If not "Very good" or "Solid", please, explain the topics to be improved.
Structure and Clarity: Is the paper well-structured? Is the paper balanced in its parts (e.g. Problem description - approach - results)? Are there any errors of fact or logic? Should the text be condensed or expanded? Is the paper too brief for clarity? Is the paper too comprehensive and should be shortened? Does the paper contain irrelevant material?	
<input type="checkbox"/> Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Solid <input type="checkbox"/> Weak <input type="checkbox"/> Not acceptable	If not "Very good" or "Solid", please, explain the topics to be improved.
Language: Is the language satisfactory? Does the paper use the correct technical terms? Are there typos or grammatical errors? Does the paper need proofreading? Are all abbreviations explained? Are there too many abbreviations?	
<input type="checkbox"/> Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Solid <input type="checkbox"/> Weak <input type="checkbox"/> Not acceptable	If not "Very good" or "Solid", please, explain the topics to be improved.
Literature references: Are the references given relevant and sufficiently complete with respect to existing related work? Are the references up to date? Is the reference list too long or too short? Is the ratio of own and foreign references adequate?	
<input type="checkbox"/> Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Solid <input type="checkbox"/> Weak <input type="checkbox"/> Not acceptable	If not "Very good" or "Solid", please, explain the topics to be improved.
Figures and Tables: Are the figures and tables given relevant? Are other figures/tables required? Are all figures/tables needed? Is the quality of the figures and tables adequate?	
<input type="checkbox"/> Very good	If not "Very good" or "Solid", please, explain the topics to be improved.



ERLANGEN

15. – 17. Sept.
2021

SIMULATION IN PRODUKTION UND LOGISTIK

- Solid
- Weak
- Not acceptable

Decisive overall recommendation

Overall recommendation:

- Accept as it is
- Minor Revision
- Major Revision
(second review needed)
- Reject

Comments to the authors:

Please explain your evaluation in a detailed and clear manner. Point out strengths and weaknesses of the submitted contribution. Please also provide suggestions for improvement and use an objective and constructive writing style.